Cornell University Course Evaluation Response Summary

Semester: Spring 2017 Course Owner: All

Courses:

• ECON 6200 • DIS 201

Course Evaluation Response Summary Semester: Spring 2017 Course Owner: All Course: N/A CID: N/A

Title: N/A Instructor: N/A

10 Responses, 27 Enrolled, 37.04% Response

The following questions are to be answered using a 5 point scale, where "1" and "5" will be defined and "3" always stands for the midpoint. For example, if a course is slightly below the midpoint in a given aspect, mark "2" for that item. Only one response is allowed for each question.

RATING SCALE 1= Defined extreme

2=
3= Midpoint
4=
5= Defined extreme

Question	Mean	StDevP	Count	1	2	3	4	5
N01. Do you feel that the TA chose material that was valuable and appropriate?  1 = inappropriate, e.g., too difficult, too easy 5 = just right	4.50	0.67	10	0	0	1	3	6
N02. How well was the TA's presentation of material organized?  1 = congested; disorganized 5 = clear; concise	4.80	0.4	10	0	0	0	2	8
N03. Was there ample opportunity to ask questions in sections?  1 = no opportunity 5 = ample chance	4.90	0.3	10	0	0	0	1	9
N04. Was the TA willing to provide help for the students who needed it?  1 = seemed unwilling to help 5 = seemed interested in being helpful	4.90	0.3	10	0	0	0	1	9
N05. Was the TA able to explain difficult material clearly and concisely?  1 = not at all 5 = extremely well	4.80	0.4	10	0	0	0	2	8
N06. How much did you learn from the discussion sections?  1 = nothing 5 = a great deal	4.40	0.8	10	0	0	2	2	6
N07. Did the TA hold regular office hours and make accommodations for those students who could not come at the scheduled times?  1 = missed office hours regularly and was not accommodating to students with conflicts  5 = held regular office hours and was accommodating to students with time conflicts	4.90	0.3	10	0	0	0	1	9
N08. The TA deserves an overall rating of:  1 = a very poor TA 5 = an excellent TA	4.90	0.3	10	0	0	0	1	9

Course Evaluation Response Summary Semester: Spring 2017 Course Owner: All Course: N/A CID: N/A

Title: N/A Instructor: N/A

10 Responses, 27 Enrolled, 37.04% Response

## C01. What do you feel was the most valued part of the sections?

12872. The intuition and the graphs. Makes much sense out of pure algebra.

12884. All of the problems we did, especially in preparation for Q exams, were very helpful.

12864. Reviewing the qualifier questions.

Cornell University Course Evaluation Response Summary Semester: Spring 2017 Course Owner: All CID: N/A

Course: N/A Title: N/A Instructor: N/A

10 Responses, 27 Enrolled, 37.04% Response

## C02. What do you feel is the least valued part of the sections?

12864. None

Course Evaluation Response Summary Semester: Spring 2017 Course Owner: All Course: N/A CID: N/A

Title: N/A Instructor: N/A

10 Responses, 27 Enrolled, 37.04% Response

## C03. What improvements (if any) should be made to the sections?

12884. Sections could move a little faster.

12864. Perhaps offer more questions directly from the qualifiers.

Course Evaluation Response Summary Semester: Spring 2017 Course Owner: All Course: N/A CID: N/A

Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A

10 Responses, 27 Enrolled, 37.04% Response

## C04. General critique

12884. Great TA, very willing to help!

12866. Nahim is a great TA. His sections are organized and well prepared, and he does an excellent job in presenting the material. Though at first, it seemed slightly out of sync with the material we were seeing in class, I realized later that this was because he was also covering material that was more of an applied nature, which is extremely useful, both for understanding the material and for preparation for the Qs. Personally, Nahim is a great TA who is very friendly and willing to take questions, which is greatly appreciated.

12864. Excellent TA! He went out of his way to help all students learn the material.